



Idaho Workforce Development Training Fund: Outreach Project Rubric

Application Title: ITC Nepris/Next Steps White Label

This rubric is intended as a tool to assist Outreach Committee members with assessing and organizing their thoughts regarding Outreach Project applications, and to provide applicants with an understanding of the components the Committee values in an applicant.

**Qualifying Questions:**

|   |     |    |
|---|-----|----|
| Does this proposal strongly support <a href="#">WDTF goals</a> and <a href="#">Guiding Document</a> ?                                       | Yes | No |
| Is WDTF Outreach Projects is clearly a good source of funding?  | Yes | No |
| The application does NOT include any training costs?  | Yes | No |
| Will the project increase career awareness for Idahoans or increase awareness of the WDTF?  | Yes | No |
| Will the project reach one or all of the Committee’s <a href="#">target audiences</a> ?<br>(Employers, Pipeline, Work-Challenged, Partners) | Yes | No |

**Project Reach and Metrics:**

Outcome per reach and reach number: 50,000 Idaho students (see bottom of page) Estimated

cost per outcome: \$3.00

Assess the value per reach

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |    |  |  |      |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----|--|--|------|
| Low   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |    |  |  | High |
| 1   | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8  | 9 | 10 |  |  |      |
| The proposed metrics seem unsound or irrelevant |   |   |   |   |   |   | The proposed metrics are sound and desirable |   |    |  |  |      |
| 1   | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8  | 9 | 10 |  |  |      |

Notes:

**Outcome per reach:** This is an estimate of how many students would be served if there is a mix between rural and urban schools who are able to contract with Nepris. The number could vary depending on the sizes of schools who are able to participate. There were around 148,000 7-12 graders enrolled in Idaho public schools last year.



**Project Workforce Development Council Needs Relevance:**

The project lacks relevance to Council needs

The project is relevant to Council needs

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

Notes:

**Funding and Sustainability:**

This is an old program in need of new funding

This is a one-time / new project

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

There seems to be other more appropriate sources of funding

WDTF is an ideal source of funding

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

Supports the organization's base operating costs / salaries

Supports direct outreach expenses, connecting our target audiences

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

Project seems unsustainable

One-time or sustainable project

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

The budget is funded solely by the WDTF

The budget has ample matched funds or resources

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

Notes:

**Confidence:**

Confidence in applying organization is:

Low

Medium

High

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10



Confidence in delivery of outcomes is:

Low

Medium

High

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

Notes:

**Partnerships and Collaboration:**

The project is not connected to key partners

The project is connected to key partners

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

Additional partners the Committee would like to see engaged:

**Replicability of project:**

The project is not replicable

The project is replicable

1                    2                    3                    4                    5                    6                    7                    8                    9                    10

|                      |                 |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| Additional Comments: | Recommendation: |
|----------------------|-----------------|