

Idaho Workforce Development Training Fund: Outreach Project Rubric

Application Title: Teaching Program Outreach Campaign - BSU College of Education - (DBA Idaho Association of Colleges for Teacher Education)

This rubric is intended as a tool to assist Outreach Committee members with assessing and organizing their thoughts regarding Outreach Project applications, and to provide applicants with an understanding of the components the Committee values in an applicant.

Qualifying Questions:

	Does this prop	oosal strongly su	pport <u>WDTF goa</u>	<u>ls</u> and <u>Guiding D</u>	ocument?			Yes		No	
	Is WDTF Outre	each Projects is c	learly a good so	urce of funding?)			Yes		No	
	The application does NOT include any training costs?									No	
	Will the project increase career awareness for Idahoans or increase awareness of the WDTF?									No	
	Will the project reach one or all of the Committee's <u>target audiences</u> ? (Employers, Pipeline, Work-Challenged, Partners)									No	
Project	t Reach and Me	etrics:									
	Outcome per reach and reach number: Refer to Page 5 of Application (100,000)										
	Estimated cost per outcome: <mark>\$3.72</mark>										
	Assess the val	ue per reach									
	Low									High	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10
	The proposed	metrics seem u	nsound or irrelev	/ant			The p	proposed metric	s are sour	nd and d	esirable
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10
	Notoci										

Notes:



Project Workforce Development Council Needs Relevance:

	The project lacks relevance to Council needs					The project is relevant to Council needs							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	Notes:												
Funding and Sustainability:													
	This is an old program in need of new funding						This is a one-time / new project						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	There seems t	o be other more	appropriate sou	rces of funding				WDTF is an ide	al source of fund	ding			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	Supports the	s the organization's base operating costs / salaries				Supports direc	t outreach expe	nses, connecting	ting our target audiences				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	Project seems	unsustainable						One-time or su	ustainable projec	t			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	The budget is	The budget is funded solely by the WDTF					The budget ha	s ample matche	funds or resources				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	Notes:												
Confic	lence:												
	Confidence in	applying organiz	ation is:										
	Low				Medium				High				

4 5 6 7 8



Confidence in delivery of outcomes is:

	Low				Medium	High				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Notes:									
Partn	erships and Coll	aboration:								
	The project is	not connected t	o key partners			The project is connected to key partners			rs	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Additional partners the Committee would like to see engaged:										
Replic	ability of projec	:t:								
	The project is	not replicable						The project is replicable		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Additional Comments:	Recommendation: