Wendi Secrist Executive Director



John Young Vice Chair

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

514 W Jefferson St, Ste 131, Boise, ID 83702

Workforce Development Policy Committee Meeting Minutes – December 19, 2023

Committee Members: Hope Morrow, Lori Barber, Christi Gilchrist, Jason Hudson, Tom Kealey, Clay Long, Daniel Puga, Jani Revier, Tom Schultz, John Smith, Scott Bedke

Staff: Wendi Secrist, Sherawn Reberry, Denise Hill, Matthew Thomsen, Cassie Mansour, Kimberly Gardner

Guests:

Call to Order at 2:03 p.m.

Roll Call – Quorum met.

Review Agenda - No changes to the agenda

*Review November 21, 2023 Meeting Minutes

Motion by Mr. Dan Puga to approve November 21, 2023 Meeting Minutes as written. Second by Ms. Jani Revier. Motion carried.

*Eligible Training Provider Policy – Appendix A

- Approved by Council December 13, 2023
- Policy Committee must update Appendix A once per year.
- Need to make sure Launch and Eligible Training Providers list are aligned.
- One Stop Committee closed any potential loopholes.
- Must align with In-Demand methodology.
- Current Appendix A
 - o Proposed document could replace current Appendix A
 - Special exceptions can be removed (page 2).
 - There is no appeals process, must have 50 or more openings.
- Proposed Appendix A Document, In-Demand Occupation & Training Alignment Methodology to replace existing Appendix A.
 - o Determining In-Demand Occupations Methodology
 - Remove rolled up SOCs from IDOL file.
 - Occupations sorted by descending order by annual openings.
 - SOCs with fewer than 50 are excluded, this leaves 296.

Wendi Secrist

Wendi Secrist Executive Director



John Young Vice Chair

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

514 W Jefferson St, Ste 131, Boise, ID 83702

- For purpose of matching to training programs, those that don't require formal training are removed. This leaves 227 occupations.
- 143 of the 227 are up to associate.
- Aligning the in-demand occupations to the programs eligible for LAUNCH methodology
 - List of in-demand occupations is mapped to list of programs from Idaho institutions and training providers.
 - 2020 CIP to SOC Crosswalk used.
 - In-demand occupations list is adapted for 18 SOC codes IDOL is using the 2010 code for.
 - Program CIP codes are collected from institutions, smaller workforce training providers without CIP codes are assigned codes by WDC staff.
 - Standardization is done by WDC staff for "Pre" programs. Ie. Pre-Me, Pre-Dental, etc.
 - All CIP codes that don't directly align to a teaching degree are removed from the broad program offerings currently shown.
 - WDC staff will continue to refine and align the CIP codes provided and will assign a LAUNCH CIP code for use in 2024.
 - IDOL agrees with adopting this new alignment methodology.
 - Discussion:
 - This makes sense.
 - It is more black & white, tells the story.
 - Going forward will be helpful.

Motion by Mr. Dan Puga to accept Appendix A as written and integrate it into the ETP Policy. Second by Ms. Christi Gilchrist. Motion carried.

Launch Implementation Framework

- Request to insert note that refers readers back to Appendix A with a hyper-link.
 - \circ $\;$ No issue from committee with adding note.

Provider Update

- Pulled all providers for Scholarship Idaho
- Compared Adult and Student Launch
- Matching to ETP list
- Want all providers on the ETP list everyone but community colleges.
- Launch providers must be on the ETP list.
- No more approvals of providers or courses- new Appendix A takes care of that.
- Do we want both LAUNCH programs to match?
- Adult Launch could be kept on a separate list.

Wendi Secrist

Sherawn Reberry

Wendi Secrist Executive Director



John Young Vice Chair

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

514 W Jefferson St, Ste 131, Boise, ID 83702

- Makes managing both programs much easier.
- Goal is to prioritize youth LAUNCH.
 - Is Adult LAUNCH prioritization the same?
 - o Discussion:
 - Could keep the same or just continue until funds are depleted.
 - Committee needs to discuss how to prioritize adults.
 - What info/ data do you need for this discussion?
 - Credit vs or non-credit
 - Pell grants are available to adults.
 - Adult LAUNCH should be used when you can't get any other help.
 - If Adult LAUNCH is restricted, funds will be depleted.
 - Perhaps use adult LAUNCH for different programs.
 - Adult launch for non-credit programs perhaps.
 - The narrower we shrink the focus; the gap gets bigger elsewhere.
 - Don't narrow the focus, just remove credit training.
 - Possibly \$10M available
 - Following year close to \$6M
 - \$5M maybe going forward.
 - Demographics for adult LAUNCH mostly male 24 34 years.
 - Higher % of males are applying for Student Launch
 - Opportunity Scholarship and the Governor's Cup applications have gone through the roof.
 - Student LAUNCH is driving Go-On behavior.
 - Lower GPAs are applying, giving opportunities to those that thought they may not have any.
 - Federal level
 - Looking at passing legislation that will decrease Pell Grant qualification – shorter term training.
 - Perhaps we adjust the \$5K, average is right around \$3K being used.
 - Separate CDLs from the analysis
 - Apprenticeship programs no matter where it lands, they are eligible for launch? ETP policy takes care of that automatic prioritization.