Wendi Secrist

Executive Director



Deni Hoehne Chair

Sarah Griffin Vice Chair

## WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

514 W Jefferson St, Ste 131, Boise, ID 83702

## Workforce Development Policy Committee Meeting Minutes – June 17, 2025

**Committee Members:** Hope Morrow, Lori Barber, John Hughes, <del>Tom Kealey</del>, Daniel Puga, Jani Revier, <del>John Smith, Scott Bedke</del>, Jeff Greene

Staff: Sherawn Reberry, Denise Hill, Chad Lahti

**Guests:** 

Call to Order at 2:31 P.M.

Welcome

Hope Morrow, Chair

- Roll Call, Introductions
- Review Agenda
- \*Review May 20, 2025 Meeting Minutes

Motion by Mr. Puga to approve May 20, 2025 Meeting Minutes. Second by Ms. Barber. Motion carried.

Discussion, Pathways Metric

- Council Meeting
  - o Conversation was reflective of some of the understanding, how can we improve this
  - Think about how we want to better educate and prepare for the upcoming session
  - Concern remains around approved careers
  - As a committee, suggest what forum might be
  - o As an example, Utah did a regular data sharing session with the legislature
  - Idaho may not be as data driven as other states
  - o Educating constituents is key, they elect the legislative body
  - Call to action possibly, numbers of what is coming out
  - Education of both recipients and employers
  - Use the council meeting to inform outward; we are educated, how do we use our appointments to do this
- Pathways Metric
  - How do we use this
  - BGI analysis on ROI for credentials
    - ROI completed for same program between colleges
    - What are likely pathways to the in-demand careers
    - If not actually likely pathways, then it comes off
  - Sketchy from social structure
  - Data Set



Sarah Griffin Vice Chair

## WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

514 W Jefferson St, Ste 131, Boise, ID 83702

- Employment by occupation
  - Degree earned, what studied, time since graduation
  - Tracked at 3,5 and 7 years after graduation
  - Reviewed for patterns across different geographies, Idaho, Idaho plus neighboring states, US as a whole
- How can we apply, possibly a percentage threshold
  - 25% in career
- Mapping process
  - Data from BGI identified the occupations individuals typically enter after earning a credential
- Difference by level of training or certification? No
  - Self-reported data
  - Observation contingency
  - SIP to SOC
- o If applied, how many pathways are removed?
- Discussion
  - Years, threshold, list, duplicative removed
- In favor if it doesn't favor BA over AA
- o RN AA/ BA are same basically
- Industry will overfund in the traditional space
  - Also certified over non-certified
- Have a position around our recommendation and why
- o Is the funding still needed if the industry is funding
- Scenarios yes or no, parameters
- No matter what we do we will have opponents
- O What's to gain; reducing pathway number, reduces SIP codes
- We're receiving more pushback on programs than careers
- It may solve some of the problems they identified but will create a more difficult situation articulating it, will complicate it even more
- What is the easiest way to explain, new component means new discussion
- Opinion over education seems to be involved
- Our effort would be lost on those that don't care about the data
- Could change from 3.0 to 3.5
- o Ms. Morrow will discuss with Wendi further
- Open to suggestions on educating this information

## **Quorum lost**

Adjourned at 3:29 P.M.